MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 380 OF 2014 (A)

DISTRICT: - NANDED.

Mohd. Saleem S/o Mohd. Kasim,

Age: 56 years, Occ: Service, R/o. Quarters No. 9, Police Head Quarters, Vazirabad, Nanded.

.. APPLICANT.

VERSUS

- 1. The State of Maharashtra
 [The Ministry of Home,
 Government of Maharashtra,
 Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
 Through the Secretary]
 [Copy to be served on C.P.O.,
 M.A.T., Aurangabad]
- The District Superintendent of Police,
 Nanded, Dist. Nanded.
 RESPONDENTS.

APPEARANCE : Shri S.B. Magare, learned Advocate

holding for Shri M.D. Godhamgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant.

Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande – learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAR,

VICE CHAIRMAN (A).

AND

: HON'BLE SHRI J.D. KULKARNI,

MEMBER (J)

PER : Hon'ble Shri Rajiv Agarwal, V.C. (A)

ORAL ORDER

[Delivered on this 16th day of December, 2016]

- 1. Heard Shri S.B. Magare, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Godhamgaonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer (P.O.) for the respondents.
- 2. This Original Application has been filed by the applicant against the order of suspension dated 27.2.2014 and also against the departmental enquiry, which was initiated by the order dated 16.7.2014 by issuing a charge-sheet to the applicant. The learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that during the pendency of this Original Application, by order dated 29.2.2016 the order of suspension was reviewed by the respondents and the applicant was reinstated in service. The applicant has since retired from service on 30.5.2016.It is seen that the order

dated 29.2.2016 issued by the Superintendent of Police, Nanded has not quashedthe departmental enquiry against the applicant and it is still pending. We express no opinion about it. However, on the basis of pleadings made in the Original Application, we are not inclined to quash the departmental enquiry. We hope and expect that the departmental enquiry pending against the applicant will be concluded as early as possible and preferably within a period of four months from the date of this order. The applicant should not place any obstacle in expeditious conclusion of the Departmental Enquiry, which is pending against him.

3. With these observations the present Original Application stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J) VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

O.A.NO.380-2014(hdd)-2016(DB)